

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 04/05/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/915,689	07/26/2001	Cary Lee Bates	ROC920010126US1	8233
75	90 04/05/2005		EXAM	INER
Gero G. McCl	ellan		ROSWELL,	MICHAEL
Thomason, Mos	ser & Patterson, L.L.P.			
Suite 1500			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3040 Post Oak Boulevard			2173	
Houston TX '	77056-6582			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summany	09/915,689	BATES ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michael Roswell	2173	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence add	dress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period who is a failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely from the mailing date of this content (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Se	entember 2004		
	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan		prosecution as to the	merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E.	•	•	
·			
Disposition of Claims			
 4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,2,9-15 and 20-23 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 3-8 and 16-19 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 			
Application Papers		•	
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		e Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is	objected to. See 37 CF	R 1.121(d
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Off	ice Action or form PT	O-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		9(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents2. Certified copies of the priority documents		eation No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior			Stage
application from the International Bureau	·	ATOG III UIIO IAGUOIIGI	Jugo
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	, , , ,	eived.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summ		
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mai 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	il Date al Patent Application (PTC)-152)
· oper recomman bate			

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the brief descriptions of Figures 4 and 6 recite the terms "is a is an" and "is a is a", respectively. Furthermore ¶ 0022 appears under the "Brief Descriptions of the Drawings" section, and is seemingly more related to the invention summary.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 11 and 22 recite the limitation "if the GUI cannot be positioned" in the first line of the claim. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Dependent claims 12 and 23 are likewise rejected.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1, 2, 9, 10, 13-15, 20, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carr (U.S. Patent 5,428,733) and Grillo et al (U.S. Patent 6,717,589), hereinafter Grillo.

Application/Control Number: 09/915,689

Art Unit: 2173

Regarding claims 1, and 13, Carr teaches a method for positioning rectangular balloons. Balloons and dialog boxes are interpreted herein as being analogous display objects for user aid. Carr further teaches determining whether a graphical user interface (GUI) includes a display area at least equal to an area of the dialog box (taught as the calculation of balloon dimensions and proximity to a "sensitive" area, at col. 3, lines 28-34), absent of any objects (taught as the positioning of a balloon to avoid obscuring an adjacent associated icon or graphic object, at col. 7, lines 3-7), and displaying the dialog box in the display area (taught as the display of a properly sized balloon, at col. 3, lines 32-34).

Carr, however, fails to explicitly teach positioning of a non-modal dialog box (or balloon) to avoid obscuring hyperlinks.

Grillo teaches a method for displaying modal and non-modal help balloons in a network environment, such as a Web browser (col. 3, lines 3-10 and 21-26). It is well known in the art that hyperlinks may be represented on a browser as a graphical image or icon, as well as linked text.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Carr and Grillo before him at the time the invention was made to modify the balloon positioning method of Carr to include the modalities and network capabilities of Grillo in order to obtain a method for positioning non-modal help balloons, or related non-modal display objects, which avoid sensitive areas such as hyperlinked images or icons.

One would be motivated to make such a combination for the advantage of allowing help balloons and boxes to avoid obscuring related images or icons in a network setting.

Application/Control Number: 09/915,689

Art Unit: 2173

Regarding claims 2 and 14, Grillo teaches the display of Web content in a browser interface, taught as the use of a network browser for displaying web pages, at col. 5, lines 53-55.

Regarding claims 9, and 20, Carr teaches a method for positioning rectangular balloons. Balloons and dialog boxes are interpreted herein as being analogous display objects for user aid. Carr further teaches determining whether a graphical user interface (GUI) includes a display area at least equal to an area of the dialog box (taught as the calculation of balloon dimensions and proximity to a "sensitive" area, at col. 3, lines 28-34), absent of any objects or a least number of objects (taught as the positioning of a balloon to avoid obscuring an adjacent associated icon or graphic object, with zero obscured objects being a least number of obscured objects, at col. 7, lines 3-7), and displaying the dialog box in the display area (taught as the display of a properly sized balloon, at col. 3, lines 32-34).

Carr, however, fails to explicitly teach positioning of a non-modal dialog box (or balloon) to avoid obscuring hyperlinks.

Grillo teaches a method for displaying modal and non-modal help balloons in a network environment, such as a Web browser (col. 3, lines 3-10 and 21-26). It is well known in the art that hyperlinks may be represented on a browser as a graphical image or icon, as well as linked text. Furthermore, it is inherent in the art that a Web browser functions by processing a request to receive content from a network address, parsing a response to the request, and rendering received content in a viewable manner.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Carr and Grillo before him at the time the invention was made to modify the balloon positioning method of Carr to include the modalities and network capabilities of Grillo in order to

obtain a method for positioning non-modal help balloons, or related non-modal display objects, which avoid sensitive areas such as hyperlinked images or icons.

One would be motivated to make such a combination for the advantage of allowing help balloons and boxes to avoid obscuring related images or icons in a network setting.

Regarding claims 10 and 21, Carr teaches determining positioning of a display object by comparing an area of the object with the display area, taught as the calculation of balloon dimensions and comparing them to display dimensions, at col. 3, lines 32-34.

Regarding claim 15, Grillo teaches the use of non-modal balloons, at col. 2, lines 62-63.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3-8 and 16-19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The prior art made of record fails to explicitly teach the positioning of a dialog box at a location on a display where a least number of hyperlinks or a least number of hyperlinks likely to be selected are obscured from a user's view, as well as determining the likelihood of hyperlink selection by assigning scores to the hyperlinks based on visitation history, as recited in the claims.

Similarly, while claims 11, 12, 22, and 23 are rejected over 35 U.S.C. 112, an amendment to overcome the antecedent basis problems disclosed above would place the claims in allowable form. The prior art made of record fails to explicitly teach the positioning of a dialog box at a location on a display where a least number of hyperlinks or a least number of hyperlinks likely to be selected are obscured from a user's view, as recited in the claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 28 September 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument that Carr and Grillo fail to teach positioning a non-modal dialog box to avoid obscuring hyperlinks, the examiner respectfully disagrees. As stated in the prior Office Action dated 29 June 2004, Carr teaches displaying a dialog box so as to avoid obscuring icons or graphic objects. Grillo teaches a method for displaying non-modal help balloons in a network environment. The examiner contends that a combination of Carr and Grillo would produce a method to avoid obscuring objects in a network environment, such as icons or graphic objects that contain hyperlinks, as are well known in the art.

Furthermore, applicant's argument whether a display area absent any hyperlinks exists is irrelevant to Carr is a moot point. The examiner has previously stated that Carr fails to explicitly teach a method to avoid obscuring hyperlinks, instead focusing on icons and other graphical objects. Through a combination of Carr and Grillo, it can be seen that the icons and graphical objects of Carr are similar to hyperlinked images or icons commonly found in the network environment as taught by Grillo. Such a combination would thus lead to a method to avoid obscuring images and objects in a network environment, such as hyperlinked images and icons.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

Application/Control Number: 09/915,689

Art Unit: 2173

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date

of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Michael Roswell whose telephone number is (571) 272-4055. The

examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 - 6:00 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, John Cabeca can be reached on (571) 272-4048. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private

PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael Roswell 3/24/2005

RAYMOND J. BAYERL PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 7

ART UNIT 2173